

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF BLAINE COUNTY
STATE OF OKLAHOMA**

MARK STEPHEN STRACK, TRUSTEE OF THE)	
PATRICIA ANN STRACK REVOCABLE TRUST)	
DTD 2/15/99 AND THE)	
BILLY JOE STRACK REVOCABLE TRUST)	
DTD 2/15/99, AND)	
DANIELA A. RENNER, SOLE SUCCESSOR)	
TRUSTEE OF THE PAUL ARIOLA LIVING TRUST)	
AND THE HAZEL ARIOLA LIVING TRUST,)	
)	
FOR THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHERS)	
SIMILARLY SITUATED,)	
)	
PLAINTIFFS,)	
)	
VS.)	CASE No. CJ-10-75
)	(JUDGE HLADIK)
CONTINENTAL RESOURCES, INC.,)	
)	
DEFENDANTS.)	

**JUNE 11, 2018 FAIRNESS HEARING NOTEBOOK
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Motion to Approve Settlement with Settlement Agreement	Tab 1
Class Counsel’s Brief in Support of Settlement (with List of Class Wells).	Tab 2
Declaration of Markham Sherwood (Mailing List Under Seal)	Tab 3
Report of Class Member Filings (Opt Outs & Objections)	Tab 4
Declaration of Daniela Renner.....	Tab 5
Declaration of Mark Strack.....	Tab 6
Declaration of Douglas E. Burns & Terry L. Stowers.....	Tab 7
Declaration of Robert Gum.....	Tab 8
Declaration of Barbara Ley.....	Tab 9
Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Litigation Costs and Initial Brief in Support	Tab 10
Supplemental Brief on Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Litigation Exp	Tab 11
Declaration of William Hetherington	Tab 12

INDEX

Motion to Approve Settlement with Settlement Agreement.....	Tab 1
Settlement Agreement	Ex 1
Amended Petition	Ex A
Schedule of Deadlines	Ex B
Order on Plan of Notice	Ex C
Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement (Mail).....	Ex C-1
Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement (Pub)	Ex C-2
Plan of Allocation and Distribution	Ex D
Order of Class Certification	Ex E
Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement	Ex F
Final Order and Judgment Approving Settlement	Ex G
Class Counsel’s Brief in Support of Settlement	Tab 2
The Court’s Role in the Settlement Approval Process.....	p. 1
Presumption of Fairness	p. 2
Litigation and Procedural Background.....	p. 2
Summary of the Settlement Terms	p. 3
Final Approval of the Form, Content, and Manner of Notice to the Class	p. 3
Opt-Outs and Objections to the Settlement.....	p. 4
The Settlement Represents a Fair and Reasonable Compromise of the Litigation.....	p. 4
Approval of the Plan of Allocation and Distribution	p. 5
Conclusion	p. 6
<u>Exhibits</u>	
List of Class Wells.....	Ex A
Declaration of Markham Sherwood (Mailing List Under Seal).....	Tab 3
Notice of Class Action (mailed)	Ex A
Report on Requests for Exclusion Received	Ex B
Copies of Objections to Attorneys’ Fees.....	Ex C
Report on Objections to Paying Current Owners	Ex D
Report of Class Member Filings (Opt Outs & Objections)	Tab 4
Declaration of Daniela Renner	Tab 5
Declaration of Mark Strack	Tab 6

Declaration of Douglas E. Burns & Terry L. Stowers..... Tab 7

Introduction – Summary of Benefits Provided to the Classp. 2
Summary of the Litigation.....p. 4
The Notice Campaignp.16
 Notice by Mail.....p. 17
 Publication Noticep. 18
 Toll-Free Phone Number and Website.....p. 19
The Overwhelming Positive Reaction of the
Settlement Class to the Settlementp. 20
Class Counsel Endorses the Settlement.....p. 20
Damage Model and Recoveryp. 21
The Plan of Allocation and Distributionp. 22
The Settlement is Fair, Adequate and Reasonablep. 27
Attorneys’ Fees and Expensesp. 29
 Attorneys’ Fees.....p. 30
 Factor 1: Time And Labor Requiredp. 36
 Factor 2: The Novelty and Difficulty of the
 Questions Presented by the Litigation.....p. 38
 Factor 3: The Skill Required to Perform the
 Legal Service Properlyp. 44
 Factor 4: The Preclusion of Other Employment
 by the Attorney Due to Acceptance of the Casep. 45
 Factor 5: The Customary Feep. 45
 Percentage of Common Fund.....p. 45
 Hourly Rates – “Local Legal Community”p. 46
 Hourly Rates – Use of Hourly Rates in
 Contingent Fee Cases.....p. 47
 Hourly Rates – COSMO’s “Recap of Recently
 Reported (2017-2018) Hourly Rates Approved in
 Oil & Gas Royalty Accounting Class Actions”p. 51
 Hourly Rates – Class Counsel’s Hourly Ratesp. 52
 Factor 6: Whether the Fee is Fixed or Contingentp. 53
 Factor 7: Time Limitations Imposed by the
 Client or the Circumstancesp. 54
 Factor 8: The Amount in Controversy and the
 Results Obtainedp. 54
 Factor 9: The Experience, Reputation and
 Ability of the Attorneyp. 56
 Factor 10: Whether or Not the Case is an
 Undesirable Case.....p. 57

Factor 11: The Nature and Length of the Professional Relationship with the Client.....	p. 58	
Factor 12: Awards in Similar Cases.....	p. 58	
Factor 13: The Risk of Recovery in the Litigation	p. 59	
“Percentage of Fund” or “Lodestar”	p. 59	
Expenses	p. 63	
Class Counsel Request A Case Contribution Award for the Class Representatives	p. 68	
<u>Exhibits</u>		
COSMO’s Report - Summary of 20+ Years of Oil and Gas Class Actions in Oklahoma (Both State and Federal Courts).....	Ex A	
COSMO’s Report - Recent Reported Lodestar Rates in Oil and Gas Class Actions in Oklahoma (Both State and Federal Courts).....	Ex B	
Summary of Class Counsel’s Detailed Time Records.....	Ex C	
Summary of Class Counsel’s Litigation Expenses	Ex D	
Declaration of Robert Gum.....		Tab 8
Curriculum Vitae	Ex A	
Declaration of Barbara Ley		Tab 9
Curriculum Vitae	Ex A	
Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Litigation Costs/Initial Brief in Support....		Tab 10
Contingency Fee Agreements.....	Ex A	
Supplemental Brief on Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Litigation Exp.		Tab 11
Declarations	p. 2	
Extending the Contingency Fee Agreement to the Class.....	p. 2	
The Common Fund Doctrine.....	p. 4	
The Creation of the Common Fund in this Litigation.....	p. 6	
Determining Reasonable Fee and Expense Awards in Common Fund Cases: Percentage of Common Fund Approach.....	p. 6	
Percentage of Fund Method vs. Lodestar Method	p. 13	
Objections to Attorneys’ Fees	p. 17	
Litigation Expenses	p. 18	
Class Representatives’ Case Contribution Award.....	p. 19	
Conclusion	p. 23	
Declaration of William Hetherington		Tab 12
Curriculum Vitae	Ex A	